

**From:** [MCCLINCY Matt](#)  
**To:** [Sean Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [arkema@parametrix.com](#); [Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [ROICK Tom](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Arkema reports and data for Lots 1 & 2  
**Date:** 02/20/2007 09:09 AM

---

Sean and Rene,

As Rene noted, there is quite a bit of available groundwater and soil data on Lots 1 & 2.

You already should already have a copy of the July 2003 Lot 1 and 2 Summary Report. This is Appendix A of the Upland RI report. All data available, as of early 2003, from both the Arkema and RPAC on Lots 1 and 2 as well as some up gradient groundwater data is summarized in this report.

Arkema is supposed to update this report. The updated report was due on 1/27/06 according to the most recent project schedule. I will check on the status of the report and let you know.

As Sean noted you have a copy of the recent upland soils data report.

I am pretty booked this week trying to get comments out on the Arkema EE/CA rewrite, but next week I will try and pull some of the more recent RPAC reports relevant to Lots 1 and 2 have them copied and forwarded to you.

Matt

-----Original Message-----

From: Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, February 16, 2007 3:25 PM  
To: Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov;  
Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; MCCLINCY Matt; arkema@parametrix.com;  
Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: Arkema reports and data for Lots 1 & 2

Rene,

Try:  
[http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/6d62f9a16e249d7888256db4005fa293/25e3e8edb6bd9a688257142006a3860/\\$FILE/arkema%20Lots%201%20%20%20Repo%20rtl%206%202006.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/6d62f9a16e249d7888256db4005fa293/25e3e8edb6bd9a688257142006a3860/$FILE/arkema%20Lots%201%20%20%20Repo%20rtl%206%202006.pdf)

That's about all I have. Definitely a large data gap. Probably going to quote Lori for some period of years, "We expect you to fill all data gaps off lots 1-4." Thanks for that quotable AOC negotiation quote Lori!

Still a little put off that Arkema did not make us aware of the data off lot 1, this came to light through our workplan v.3 process pulling in available data.

S

Sean Sheldrake  
USEPA  
Environmental Cleanup Office  
1200 Sixth Avenue  
Mailstop: ECL-110  
Seattle WA 98101-1128  
sheldrake.sean@epa.gov  
Phone: 206/553-1220 / Fax: 206/553-0124 or -0910  
<http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/oea.nsf/webpage/dive+team>  
<http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/sites/ptldharbor>  
Deliveries: 9th floor mailroom  
Visitors: Check-in @ PERC / Service Center on 12th floor

Rene  
Fuentes/R10/USEPA  
A/US  
02/16/2007 11:36  
AM

To  
Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov@EP  
A, Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc  
Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov@EPA,  
Chip\_Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
MCCLINCY Matt  
<MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>  
Subject  
Arkema reports and data for Lots  
1 & 2

I am reviewing the Arkema EE/CA workplan section 8, and the additional proposed data gaps offshore. This led me to looking for Arkema upland wells and data in Lots 1 & 2, which I have not found (not even a reference to it). I do find the Upland RI, but that only had Lots 3 & 4 data.

In a related topic there was the recent ODEQ/EPA meeting with Rhone-Poulenc and the references to the wells that they had installed (?) in Arkema, which also leads me to the same questions about where I can find that information. In addition there were the discussions about what shallow or deep ground water plumes may be going from the Gould and Rhone-Poulenc facilities across Arkema and into the river. Are there any good cross-sections with different contamination data plotted on them? My best find has been in the LWG Conceptual Site Model reports (for Rhone-Poulenc) which does cover Arkema and shows both wells in the cross-section plan map and some data in the actual cross-section.

With that for background, can any of you provide me with either a link to find these data or some clue as to what reports may have that information and where we can find them?

I have great hopes that this type of confusion in my part will be totally cleared up in the Round 2 report, but in case I am mistaken in my hopes I thought that getting this request out to you may lead me to something.

Thanks, Rene